# ACYCLIC COLORINGS OF PRODUCTS OF TREES

ROBERT E. JAMISON<sup>a</sup>, GRETCHEN L. MATTHEWS<sup>a,\*</sup>, AND JOHN VILLALPANDO<sup>b</sup> <sup>a</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CLEMSON UNIVERSITY, CLEMSON, SC 29634-0975 USA

 $^{b}$  department of mathematics and computer sciences, gonzaga university, spokane, wa 99258 usa

ABSTRACT. We obtain bounds for the coloring numbers of products of trees for three closely related types of colorings: acyclic, distance 2, and L(2, 1).

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

A k-coloring of a graph G with vertex set V(G) is a labeling  $f : V(G) \to \{1, \ldots, k\}$ . A k-coloring of a graph is a proper coloring provided any two adjacent vertices have distinct colors. The chromatic number of G, denoted  $\chi(G)$ , is the minimum k such that G has a proper k-coloring. An acyclic coloring of a graph G is a proper coloring of G such that the subgraph of G induced by any two color classes of G contains no cycles. The acyclic chromatic number of a graph G, denoted AC(G), is the minimum number k such that G has an acyclic k-coloring.

Acyclic colorings were introduced by Grünbaum in [8]. The study of acyclic colorings for planar graphs was carried on by Berman and Albertson [1] and Borodin [5]. This was followed by work on the acyclic chromatic number for graphs on certain surfaces [3]. In addition, acyclic colorings have been studied by Alon, McDiarmid, and Reed [2] and Mohar [11]. Nowakowski and Rall have investigated the behavior of several graph parameters with respect to an array of different graph products [12].

Here we address a natural extension of the very nice work by Ferrin, Godard, and Raspaud [6] in which acyclic colorings of products of paths were studied. We consider acyclic colorings of products of trees, obtaining bounds for the acyclic chromatic number. The product we are taking is the usual *Cartesian* (or *box*) product. The vertex set of  $G \square H$  is the Cartesian product  $V(G) \times V(H)$  of the vertex sets of G and H. There is an edge between two vertices (a, b) and (x, y) of the product if and only if they are adjacent in exactly one coordinate and agree in the other.

In addition, we also investigate certain close but more restrictive relatives of acyclic colorings. A *distance* 2 *coloring* of G is a proper coloring in which any three vertices lying on a path of length two in G have distinct colors. The *distance* 2 *chromatic number* of G, denoted  $\chi(G^2)$ , is the minimum number k such that G has

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  Coloring; Graph products; Hamming codes; Interconnection networks; Trees.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author

E-mail addresses: rejam@clemson.edu (R. E. Jamison)

gmatthe@clemson.edu (G. L. Matthews)

villalpando@gonzaga.edu (J. Villalpando).

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

a distance 2 coloring with k colors. Recall that the square  $G^2$  of a graph G has the same vertex set as G but has two vertices adjacent if and only if they are at most distance two apart in G. By definition, the distance 2 chromatic number is just the chromatic number of the square, hence the notation  $\chi(G^2)$ .

An even more restrictive coloring notion is that of L(2, 1)-coloring. An L(2, 1)coloring is a coloring in which adjacent vertices are assigned color labels which differ by at least 2 and vertices at distance two apart get color labels which differ by at least one. The smallest k such that G has an L(2, 1)-coloring with k colors is the L(2, 1)-chromatic number of G and is denoted by  $\lambda(G)$ . Whitelese, Georges, and Mauro investigated L(2, 1)-colorings of products of paths [13]. More recently, Kuo and Yan considered the L(2, 1)-chromatic numbers of products of paths and cycles [10].

Since these three types of colorings are progressively more restrictive, it is evident that for any graph G we have

$$\chi(G) \le AC(G) \le \chi(G^2) \le \lambda(G)$$

It is also evident that each of these colorings are hereditary in the sense that the restriction of a coloring of one of the four types - proper, acyclic, distance 2, or L(2,1) - to a subgraph is again of the same type. Thus, the corresponding chromatic numbers are nondecreasing from subgraph to supergraph.

All of the graphs we consider will be simple (no loops or multiple edges). The path on n vertices will be denoted by  $P_n$ , and  $Q_d$  will denote the (1-skeleton of the) d-dimensional cube; that is, the d-cube  $Q_d$  is the d-fold Cartesian/box product of a single edge  $P_2$  with itself.

### 2. Acyclic Coloring

In [6, Theorem 2], it is shown that the acyclic chromatic number of a product of paths is at most d + 1. Here, we generalize this to a product of trees.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $G = T_1 \Box T_2 \Box \cdots \Box T_d$  be a product of trees  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_d$ . Then  $\lfloor \frac{d+3}{2} \rfloor \leq AC(G) \leq d+1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G = T_1 \Box T_2 \Box \cdots \Box T_d$ , where  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_d$  are trees. To obtain the lower bound on AC(G), note that G contains  $Q_d = P_2 \Box \cdots \Box P_2$ , the d-dimensional cube. Then, according to [6, Theorem 4],  $\lceil \frac{d+3}{2} \rceil \leq AC(Q_d) \leq AC(G)$ . To obtain the upper bound on AC(G), root each tree  $T_i$  at some vertex  $r_i$ .

To obtain the upper bound on AC(G), root each tree  $T_i$  at some vertex  $r_i$ . Then, for each tree  $T_i$ , direct all edges of  $T_i$  away from the root  $r_i$ . Given a vertex  $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_d) \in V(G)$ , define  $f(u) \in \{1, \ldots, d+1\}$  by

$$f(u) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{d} k \cdot \operatorname{dist}(u_k, r_k) \pmod{d+1},$$

where dist $(u_k, v_k)$  denotes the distance between two vertices  $u_k$  and  $v_k$  of the tree  $T_k$ . Given  $1 \le m < n \le d+1$ , consider the two color classes  $L_m := \{v \in V(G) : f(v) = m\}$  and  $L_n := \{v \in V(G) : f(v) = n\}$ . Let H be the subgraph of G induced by the color classes  $L_m$  and  $L_n$ . We claim that H contains no cycles.

First note that G contains no oriented cycle. It follows that any cycle in H must contain a vertex  $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$  with both arrows going into v. Let  $v' = (v'_1, \ldots, v'_d)$ and  $v'' = (v''_1, \ldots, v''_d)$  be the neighbors of v in H. Since v and v' are adjacent in G, there exists  $i, 1 \le i \le d$ , such that  $v_i$  and  $v'_i$  are adjacent in the tree  $T_i$  and  $v_k = v'_k$  for all  $k \neq i$ . Note that  $v'_i$  is the parent of  $v_i$  in  $T_i$  since the edge v'v is directed from v' to v. Similarly, since v and v'' are adjacent in G, there exists j,  $1 \leq j \leq d$ , such that  $v_j$  and  $v''_j$  are adjacent in the tree  $T_j$  and  $v_l = v''_l$  for all  $l \neq j$ . Again,  $v''_j$ is the parent of  $v_j$  in  $T_j$ . Since any vertex in a tree has at most one parent, if i = j, then  $v'_i = v''_i$  which implies v' = v''. Since this cannot be the case,  $i \neq j$ . Then

$$f(v') \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{a} k \operatorname{dist}(v'_k, r_k) \equiv f(v) - i(\operatorname{dist}(v_i, r_i) - \operatorname{dist}(v'_i, r_i)) \equiv f(v) - i \pmod{d+1}$$

since  $dist(v_i, r_i) = dist(v'_i, r_i) + 1$ . Similarly,

$$f(v'') \equiv f(v) - j \pmod{d+1}.$$

To prove the claim, we will show that  $|\{f(v), f(v'), f(v'')\}| = 3$ .

Since  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ ,  $f(v) \neq f(v')$  and  $f(v) \neq f(v'')$  implying that f is a proper coloring. It remains to show that  $f(v') \neq f(v'')$ . Suppose f(v') = f(v''). From the above, this implies that

$$f(v) - i \equiv f(v) - j \pmod{d+1}.$$

Thus,  $(d+1) \mid (i-j)$ . However,  $-d \leq i-j \leq d$  as  $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ . This contradicts the fact that  $i \neq j$ . Hence,  $f(v') \neq f(v'')$ . Therefore,  $|\{f(v), f(v'), f(v'')\}| = 3$ . It follows that H cannot contain a cycle, proving the claim. Since G contains no bichromatic cycles,  $f: V(G) \rightarrow \{1, \ldots, d+1\}$  is an acyclic coloring. Consequently,  $AC(G) \leq d+1$ .

**Corollary 2.2.** The acyclic chromatic number of the product of two trees is 3.

*Proof.* Any graph containing a cycle must have acyclic chromatic number at least 3. The above result constructs an acyclic 3-coloring for a product of two trees.

**Theorem 2.3.** The acyclic chromatic number of the product of three trees is 4.

*Proof.* The product of three (nontrivial) trees necessarily contains  $Q_3$ , the product of three edges. Hence there is no acyclic coloring of a product of three trees with 3 colors as the acyclic chromatic number of  $Q_3$  is 4 [6, Table 1]. Thus by Theorem 2.1, the acyclic chromatic number of the product of three trees is 4.

The lower bound in Theorem 2.1 can be improved in some cases using a modification of a technique in [6]. This will be shown in a general context in [9].

### 3. DISTANCE 2 COLORING

3.1. Distance 2 colorings of general products of trees. In any graph G the closed neighborhood N[v] of any vertex v is a clique in the square  $G^2$ . As such its order is a lower bound on the distance 2 chromatic number. The order of N[v] is just the degree of v plus one (to count v itself). Thus,

$$\chi(G^2) \ge \Delta(G) + 1,$$

where  $\Delta(G)$  denotes the maximum degree of a vertex of G.

Now the degree of a vertex in a product graph is just the sum of the degrees of its coordinates. In particular, choosing each coordinate to have maximal degree in its factor produces a vertex of maximal degree in the product. In particular, this argument yields the following bounds: Theorem 3.1. (1) If G is a product of trees  $T_i$  (i = 1, ..., d) with maximum degrees  $\Delta_i$ , then  $\chi(G^2) \ge (\sum_{i=1}^d \Delta_i) + 1$ . (2) If G is a product of d paths of lengths at least 2, then  $\chi(G^2) \ge 2d + 1$ .

- (3) If G is the d-dimensional cube, then  $\chi(G^2) \ge d+1$ .

4

The construction of distance 2 colorings giving an upper bound on  $\chi(G^2)$  is more involved and it will be helpful to standardize notation before embarking on the construction. Let  $G = T_1 \Box T_2 \Box \cdots \Box T_d$ , where  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_d$  are non-trivial trees, and let  $\Delta_i$  denote the maximum degree of  $T_i$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume the factor trees are ordered by increasing maximum degree:  $\Delta_i \leq \Delta_{i+1}$ for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots d - 1$ . Let n denote the number of factor trees  $T_i$  with  $\Delta_i = 1$ . That is, n is the number of factors which are just single edges. Let each  $T_i$  be rooted at a leaf  $r_i \in V(T_i)$ .

For our current purposes define a *weighting* to be a map

wt : 
$$E(T_1) \cup E(T_2) \cup \cdots \cup E(T_d) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$$

which assigns a positive integer to each edge in each factor tree  $T_i$ . A weighting is *admissible* if and only if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (AW1) Edges from different factor trees get different weights;
- (AW2) For all v, the mapping  $\{v, u_i\} \to \operatorname{wt}(\{v, u_i\})$  is one-to-one on the children  $u_1, u_2, \ldots u_j$  of v.

Given a positive integer m, the m-coloring of G based on a weighting wt is defined as follows. First for each factor tree  $T_i$ , define a labeling  $q_i: V(T_i) \to \mathbb{Z}^+$  of each of the vertices  $v \in V(T_i)$  of  $T_i$  by

$$g_i(v) = \sum_{k=1}^{j} \operatorname{wt}(\{x_k, x_{k-1}\})$$

where  $r_i = x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{j-1}, x_j = v$  is the unique path in  $T_i$  from the root  $r_i$  to the vertex v; that is,  $g_i(v)$  is the weight of the path in  $T_i$  from the root  $r_i$  to the vertex v. Now given a vertex  $u = (u_1, ..., u_d) \in V(G)$ , define  $f(u) \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., m-1\}$ by

$$f(u) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{d} g_k(u_k) \pmod{m}.$$

Note that we are deviating slightly from the definition of coloring given in the first section. Namely, since we are now working modulo m, it is more convenient to let the color set be the system of residues  $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, m-1\}$  rather than the first m positive integers.

Note also that since each edge of G corresponds to moving some component along some edge in a factor tree, each edge of G is associated with an edge in a factor tree. Thus we can lift the weighting from the edges of the factor trees to the edges of the full product G.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let we be an admissible weighting on a product of trees G. If m = $2 \max_{\{u,v\} \in E(G)} (wt(\{u,v\})) + 1$ , then the m-coloring f based on the weighting wt is a distance 2 coloring of G.

*Proof.* First, we will show that f is a proper coloring of G. Consider

$$v = (v_1, \dots, v_d), v' = (v'_1, \dots, v'_d) \in V(G)$$

where  $\{v, v'\} \in E(G)$ . Then there exists an *i* with  $1 \leq i \leq d$  such that  $v_i$  and  $v'_i$  are adjacent in  $T_i$  and  $v_k = v'_k$  for all  $k \neq i$ . Relabeling if necessary, we may assume that  $v'_i$  is the parent of  $v_i$  in  $T_i$ . Then

$$f(v) - f(v') \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{d} g_k(v_k) - \sum_{k=1}^{d} g_k(v'_k) \equiv g_i(v_i) - g_i(v'_i) \equiv \operatorname{wt}(\{v'_i, v_i\}) \pmod{m}.$$

From the definition of m and the fact that the weights are positive, we have  $1 \leq \operatorname{wt}(\{v'_i, v_i\}) \leq \frac{m-1}{2}$ . So  $\operatorname{wt}(\{v'_i, v_i\}) \neq 0$  and  $m \nmid \operatorname{twt}(\{v'_i, v_i\})$ . Thus,  $f(v) \neq f(v')$ . Therefore, f is a proper coloring of G.

Now we must show that if  $\operatorname{dist}(v, v'') = 2$ , then  $f(v) \neq f(v'')$  and hence f is a distance 2 coloring of G. Suppose that  $\operatorname{dist}(v, v'') = 2$  for some vertices  $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_d) \in V(G)$  and  $v'' = (v''_1, \ldots, v''_d) \in V(G)$ . Then there exists  $v' = (v'_1, \ldots, v'_d) \in V(G)$  such that v and v' are adjacent and v' and v'' are adjacent. Since v and v' are adjacent, there exists i with  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , such that  $v_i$  and  $v'_i$  are adjacent in the tree  $T_i$  and  $v_k = v'_k$  for all  $k \neq i$ . Since v' and v'' are adjacent, there exists j with  $1 \leq j \leq d$  such that  $v'_j$  and  $v''_j$  are adjacent in the tree  $T_j$  and  $v'_k = v'_k$  for all  $k \neq j$ .

Now we have

(1) 
$$\begin{aligned} f(v) - f(v'') &\equiv (f(v) - f(v')) + (f(v') - f(v'')) \\ &\equiv \pm \operatorname{wt}(\{v_i, v_i'\}) \pm \operatorname{wt}(\{v_i', v_i''\}) \pmod{m} , \end{aligned}$$

By choice of m, each of the two weights above are at most  $\frac{m-1}{2}$ , so adding or subtracting them will give a value between -(m-1) and m-1. Thus if f(v) = f(v''), then the two weights must cancel out. To show this cannot happen, there are three cases.

First, if  $i \neq j$ , then the edges  $\{v_i, v'_i\}$  and  $\{v'_j, v''_j\}$  are in different factor trees. Condition (AW1) says they are assigned different weights, so they cannot cancel out.

Next suppose i = j and  $v_i, v'_i$ , and  $v''_i$  lie on a directed path. Then the weights in Equation (1) will occur with the same signs: positive if  $v_i - v'_i - v''_i$  is going toward the root and negative if it is going away.

Finally suppose i = j and v' is the parent of both v and v''. Then by condition (AW2) the edge weights from v' to v and to v'' will be different, so that these weights cannot cancel out.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $G = T_1 \Box T_2 \Box \cdots \Box T_d$  be a product of trees  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_d$ . Let  $n = |\{i : 1 \le i \le d, T_i = P_2\}|$  and  $s = \sum_{i=1}^d \Delta_i$ , where  $\Delta_i$  denotes the degree of a vertex of  $T_i$  of maximum degree. Then  $\chi(G^2) \le 2(s - d + n) + 1$ .

Proof. Let  $G = T_1 \Box T_2 \Box \cdots \Box T_d$ , where  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_d$  are trees. Let  $n = |\{i : 1 \leq i \leq d, T_i = P_2\}|$  be the number of trees  $T_i = P_2$ , a path on two vertices. Define  $\Delta_0 = 1$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq d$ , let  $\Delta_i$  denote the degree of a vertex of  $T_i$  of maximum degree. Set  $s = \sum_{i=1}^d \Delta_i$ . Then, if  $n \geq 1$ , we may assume that  $T_1 = \cdots = T_n = P_2$  and that  $\Delta_i \geq 2$  for all  $i, n + 1 \leq i \leq d$ . If n = 0, then  $\Delta_i \geq 2$  for all  $i, 1 \leq i \leq d$ .

Now we create a weighting on G. First, root each tree  $T_i$  at a leaf  $r_i \in V(T_i)$ . Then direct all edges of  $T_i$  away from the root  $r_i$ . Recall that each  $T_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , has exactly one edge. Assign the weight i to such an edge. Now consider a tree  $T_i$  where  $n + 1 \leq i \leq d$ ; that is, consider a tree  $T_i$  with  $\Delta_i \geq 2$ . Given a vertex  $v_i \in V(T_i)$ ,  $v_i$  has degree at most  $\Delta_i$  and so has at most  $\Delta_i - 1$  children. If  $v_i \in V(T_i)$  has children  $u_1, \ldots, u_t$ , where  $1 \leq t \leq \Delta_i - 1$ , then define the weight of the edge  $\{v_i, u_j\} \in E(T_i)$  by

wt({
$$v_i, u_j$$
}) =  $n + \left(\sum_{l=n}^{i-1} (\Delta_l - 1)\right) + j.$ 

Since  $1 \le j \le \Delta_i - 1$ ,

$$\left(\sum_{k=n}^{i-1} (\Delta_k - 1)\right) + 1 \le \operatorname{wt}(\{v_i, u_j\}) \le n + \sum_{k=n}^{i} (\Delta_k - 1)$$

for all edges  $\{v_i, u_j\} \in E(T_i)$ . In addition,  $\operatorname{wt}(\{v_i, v_i'\}) \neq \operatorname{wt}(\{v_j, v_j'\})$  for two any edges  $\{v_i, v_i'\} \in E(T_i), \{v_j, v_j'\} \in E(T_j)$  in distinct trees  $T_i$  and  $T_j$ . This proves that wt is an admissible weighting on G.

Thus, by Lemma 3.2,  $f: V(G) \to \{1, 2, ..., m\}$  is a distance 2 coloring of G.

We conclude this section with a summary of our findings for products of paths.

**Corollary 3.4.** (1) If G is a product of paths  $T_i$  (i = 1, ..., d) and n denotes the number of paths of length 1, then  $2d + 1 - n \le \chi(G^2) \le 2d + 1$ .

- (2) If G is a product of d paths of lengths at least 2, then  $\chi(G^2) = 2d + 1$ .
- (3) If G is the d-dimensional cube, then  $d+1 \leq \chi(G^2) \leq 2d+1$ .

3.2. Distance 2 colorings of hypercubes. Our Corollary 3.4(2) is already contained in [6], where they show that if G is a product of paths of lengths 2 or more, then  $\chi(G^2) = 2d + 1$ . Although the hypothesis that the paths have lengths greater than 2 is not included in the statement of their theorem, its omission is surely an oversight since it is mentioned in the proof and, as we now show, the result is false without it. We will look at lower bounds for  $\chi(Q_d^2)$  where  $Q_d^2$  is the square of the cube of dimension d; that is, lower bounds for the distance 2 chromatic number of a product of d paths of length 1.

**Theorem 3.5.** If  $d = 2^r - 1$  for some integer r > 1, then  $\chi(Q_d^2) = d + 1$ .

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{H}_r$  denote the Hamming code of length  $2^r - 1$ . As is well known,  $\mathcal{H}_r$  is a perfect linear code of weight 3 for all  $r \geq 2$  [4]. This applies to our situation as follows. Let  $d = 2^r - 1$  and consider the *d*-cube  $Q_d$ . The vertices of  $Q_d$  may be regarded as binary vectors of length *d*. The Hamming distance for vectors is in this case the same as the graph distance in  $Q_d$ . The fact that the code is linear and the weight is 3 implies that the distance between any two vertices (= vectors) in the code is at least 3. That is, the code  $\mathcal{H}$  is an independent set in the square  $Q_d^2$  of the *d*-cube. The fact the code is perfect implies that it is an independent set of maximum size. Now  $\mathcal{H}$  has dimension d - r and so contains  $2^{d-r}$  vectors. The cube has  $2^d$  vertices, so the minimum number of independent sets required to partition the vertex set (i.e., the minimum number of colors in a proper coloring) of  $Q_d$  is  $2^d/2^{d-r} = 2^r = d + 1$ . The Hamming code  $\mathcal{H}_r$  achieves this minimum, so  $\chi(Q_d^2) = d + 1$ .

Recall that Corollary 3.4(c) shows  $\chi(Q_d^2) \ge d+1$ . The above result shows that equality is obtained if d+1 is a power of 2. Next, we see that this is the only time

this occurs. To do this, we derive another lower bound on the distance 2 chromatic number of a hypercube.

**Lemma 3.6.** The distance 2 chromatic number of the d-dimensional cube  $Q_d$  satisfies

$$\chi(Q_d^2) \ge \left\lceil \frac{2^d}{\left\lfloor \frac{2^d}{d+1} \right\rfloor} \right\rceil.$$

Proof. Clearly,  $\alpha(Q_d^2)\chi(Q_d^2) \ge 2^d$  where  $\alpha(Q_d^2)$  denotes the independence number of the square of the *d*-dimensional cube  $Q_d$ . By the Sphere Packing Bound,  $\alpha(Q_d^2) \le \frac{2^d}{d+1}$ . Since  $\alpha(Q_d^2)$  is an integer, this gives  $\alpha(Q_d^2) \le \left\lfloor \frac{2^d}{d+1} \right\rfloor$ . It follows that  $\chi(Q_d^2) \ge \frac{2^d}{\left\lfloor \frac{2^d}{d+1} \right\rfloor}$ . Since  $\chi(Q_d^2)$  is an integer, the desired bound is obtained.

**Theorem 3.7.** The distance 2 chromatic number of the d-dimensional cube satisfies  $\chi(Q_d^2) \ge d+1$  with equality if and only if d+1 is a power of 2.

*Proof.* Let  $z := \frac{2^r}{d+1}$ . Suppose that  $z \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\lfloor z \rfloor < z$  and so  $\frac{2^r}{\lfloor z \rfloor} > \frac{2^r}{z} = d+1$ . By Lemma 3.6, this yields

$$\chi(Q_d^2) \ge \left\lceil \frac{2^r}{\lfloor z \rfloor} \right\rceil \ge \frac{2^r}{\lfloor z \rfloor} > d+1.$$

Corollary 3.8. If  $d = 2^r - 2$  for some integer r > 1, then  $\chi(Q_d^2) = d + 2$ .

Proof. Let  $d = 2^r - 2$  where r > 1. By Theorem 3.7,  $2^r \leq \chi(Q_d^2)$ . Since  $Q_{d+1}$  contains  $Q_d$ , we have that  $\chi(Q_d^2) \leq \chi(Q_{d+1}^2)$ . Now Theorem 3.5 implies  $2^r \leq \chi(Q_d^2) \leq \chi(Q_{d+1}^2) = 2^r$ .

Finding the distance 2 chromatic number of the *d*-dimensional cube in the case  $d \notin \{2^r - 2, 2^r - 1 : r > 1\}$  remains an interesting open question.

## 4. L(2, 1)-coloring

An L(2, 1)-coloring of a graph G, introduced by J. Griggs and R. Yeh [7], is a labeling f of the vertex set onto the set of non-negative integers such that

- (1)  $|f(u) f(v)| \ge 2$  if  $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$
- (2)  $|f(u) f(v)| \ge 1$  if dist(u, v) = 2.

For an L(2, 1)-coloring f on a graph G, let  $k = \max_{u \in V(G)} f(u)$ . Then the L(2, 1)chromatic number of a graph G, denoted by  $\lambda(G)$ , is defined to be the integer  $\min\{k : f \text{ is an } L(2, 1)$ -coloring of  $G\}$ .

We again consider G to be the product of trees. We develop a bound for the L(2, 1)-chromatic number of G.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $G = T_1 \Box T_2 \Box \cdots \Box T_d$  be a product of nontrivial trees  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_d$ . Let wt be an admissible weighting on G where wt $(\{u, v\}) \neq 1$  for all edges  $\{u, v\}$  of G. If  $m = 2 \max_{\{u,v\} \in E(G)} (wt(\{u, v\})) + 1$ , then the m-coloring based on the weighting wt of G is an L(2, 1)-coloring. *Proof.* Let  $G = T_1 \Box T_2 \Box \cdots \Box T_d$  be a product of non-trivial trees  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_d$  and  $m = 2 \max_{\{u,v\} \in E(G)} (\text{wt}(\{u,v\})) + 1$ . Let wt be an admissible weighting on G and f the m-coloring of G based on wt. Assume that  $\text{wt}(\{u,v\}) \neq 1$  for all edges  $\{u,v\}$  of G.

We begin by showing that adjacent vertices differ in color by at least two. Consider  $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_d), v' = (v'_1, \ldots, v'_d) \in V(G)$  where  $\{v, v'\} \in E(G)$ . Then there exists i with  $1 \leq i \leq d$  such that  $v_i$  and  $v'_i$  are adjacent in  $T_i$  and  $v_k = v'_k$  for all  $k \neq i$ . Relabeling if necessary, we may assume that  $v'_i$  is the parent of  $v_i$  in  $T_i$ . Then

$$f(v) - f(v') \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{d} g_k(v_k) - \sum_{k=1}^{d} g_k(v'_k) \equiv g_i(v_i) - g_i(v'_i) \equiv \operatorname{wt}(\{v'_i, v_i\}) \pmod{m}.$$

By definition of wt and  $m, 2 \leq \text{wt}(\{v'_i, v_i\}) \leq \frac{m-1}{2} \leq m-1$ . Thus,  $|f(v)-f(v')| \geq 2$ . Now note that vertices of distance 2 apart differ in color as f is a distance 2

coloring by Lemma 3.2. This completes the proof that f is an L(2,1) coloring.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $G = T_1 \Box T_2 \Box \cdots \Box T_d$  be a product of trees  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_d$ . Let  $n = |\{i : 1 \le i \le d, T_i = P_2\}|$  and  $s = \sum_{i=1}^d \Delta_i$ , where  $\Delta_i$  denotes the degree of a vertex of  $T_i$  of maximum degree. Then  $\lambda(G) \le 2(s - d + n) + 3$ .

Proof. Let  $G = T_1 \Box T_2 \Box \cdots \Box T_d$ , where  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_d$  are trees. Let  $n = |\{i : 1 \le i \le d, T_i = P_2\}|$  be the number of trees  $T_i = P_2$ , a path on two vertices. Define  $\Delta_0 = 1$ . For  $1 \le i \le d$ , let  $\Delta_i$  denote the degree of a vertex of  $T_i$  of maximum degree. Set  $s = \sum_{i=1}^d \Delta_i$ . Then, if  $n \ge 1$ , we may assume that  $T_1 = \cdots = T_n = P_2$  and that  $\Delta_i \ge 2$  for all  $i, n+1 \le i \le d$ . If n = 0, then  $\Delta_i \ge 2$  for all  $i, 1 \le i \le d$ .

To prove this we create a weighting on G. First, root each tree  $T_i$  at a leaf  $r_i \in V(T_i)$ . Then direct all edges of  $T_i$  away from the root  $r_i$ . Recall that each  $T_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , has exactly one edge. Assign the weight i + 1 to such an edge. Now consider a tree  $T_i$  where  $n + 1 \leq i \leq d$ ; that is, consider a tree  $T_i$  with  $\Delta_i \geq 2$ . Given a vertex  $v_i \in V(T_i)$ ,  $v_i$  has degree at most  $\Delta_i$  and so has at most  $\Delta_i - 1$  children. If  $v_i \in V(T_i)$  has children  $u_1, \ldots, u_t$ , where  $1 \leq t \leq \Delta_i - 1$ , then define the weight of the edge  $\{v_i, u_i\} \in E(T_i)$  by

wt({
$$v_i, u_j$$
}) =  $n + \left(\sum_{k=n}^{i-1} (\Delta_k - 1)\right) + j.$ 

Since  $1 \le j \le \Delta_i - 1$ ,

8

$$\left(\sum_{k=n}^{i-1} (\Delta_k - 1)\right) + 1 \leq \operatorname{wt}(\{v_i, u_j\}) \leq n + \sum_{k=n}^{i} (\Delta_k - 1)$$

for all edges  $\{v_i, u_j\} \in E(T_i)$ . In addition,  $\operatorname{wt}(\{v_i, v_i'\}) \neq \operatorname{wt}(\{v_j, v_j'\})$  for two any edges  $\{v_i, v_i'\} \in E(T_i), \{v_j, v_j'\} \in E(T_j)$  in distinct trees  $T_i$  and  $T_j$ . This proves that wt is an admissible weighting on G.

Note that wt( $\{u, v\}$ )  $\neq 1$  if  $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$ . Thus Lemma 4.1 applies and  $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  is an L(2, 1)-coloring of G.

#### 5. Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Sandi Klavžar and Bojan Mohar for their insightful comments during the preparation of this manuscript.

#### References

- M. O. Albertson and D. M. Berman, The acyclic chromatic number, Proceedings of the Seventh Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing, Utilitas Mathematica Inc., Winnipeg, Canada, 1976, 51–60.
- [2] N. Alon, C. McDiarmid, and B. Reed, Acyclic colorings of graphs, Random Structures Algorithms 2 (1991), no. 3, 277–288.
- [3] N. Alon, B. Mohar, and D. P. Sanders, On acyclic colorings of graphs on surfaces, Israel J. Math. 94 (1996), 273–283.
- [4] E. F. Assmus, Jr. and J. D. Key, Designs and their codes. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 103. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [5] O. V. Borodin, On acyclic colorings of planar graphs, Discrete Math. 25 (1979), no. 3, 211– 236.
- [6] G. Fertin, E. Godard, and A. Raspaud, Acyclic and k-distance coloring of the grid, Inform. Process. Lett. 87 (2003), no. 1, 51–58.
- [7] J. Griggs and R.Yeh, Labelling Graphs with a Condition at Distance 2, SIAM J. Disc. Math. 5 (1992), no. 4, 586–592.
- [8] B. Grünbaum, Acylic colorings of planar graphs, Isreal J. Math. 14 (1973), 390–408.
- [9] R. E. Jamison and G. L. Matthews, Acyclic colorings of products of cycles, preprint.
- [10] D. Kuo and J-H Yan, On L(2, 1)-labelings of Cartesian products of paths and cycles, Discrete Math. **283** (2004), no. 1-3, 137–144.
- [11] B. Mohar, Acyclic colorings of locally planar graphs. European J. Combin. 26 (2005), no. 3-4, 491–503.
- [12] R. Nowakowski and D. F. Rall, Associative graph products and their independence, domination and coloring numbers. Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 16 (1996), no. 1, 53–79.
- [13] M. A. Whittlesey, J. P. Georges, and D. W. Mauro, On the  $\lambda$ -number of  $Q_n$  and related graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 8 (1995), no. 4, 499–506.